

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/755,700 | 01/10/2004 | Christine M. Greiser | A3182Q-US-NP | 3437 | |
| Patent Docume | 7590 03/15/2007 | | EXAMINER | | |
| Xerox Corporation | | | FIDLER, SHELBY LEE | | |
| Xerox Square 20th Floor 100 Clinton Ave. S. | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Rochester, NY | 14644 | | 2861 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 03/15/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|--|
| 10/755,700 | GREISER ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Shelby Fidler | 2861 | | |

| | Shelby Flater | 2001 | |
|---|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 16 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m | fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forthater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) | 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. cension and the corresponding amount chortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | 136(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi | te extension fee iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | o avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of the appeal. Since |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | nsideration and/or search (see NC | | ecause |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | w); ter form for appeal by materially re | educing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | jected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 7 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected to: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | ill be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | 1 - 1 C A 1 211 | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence i | s necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attac | hed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application | in condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | _ | • |
| 13. Other: | | That | el L |
| Stall 2. Feller 3/12/07 | | MATTHE | WLUU |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended limitation that "ink drop generators in the first sub-column are physically separated from every other ink drop generator in the first sub-column by at least a portion of one of the ink drop generators from the second sub-column" requires further search and consideration.